

ADDENDUM NO. 1

This Addendum consists of the following **CHANGES TO THE BID DOCUMENTS FOR OFFICIAL NOTICE 21-1-2014:**

**1. PLEASE SEE THE RESPONSES BELOW TO THE REQUEST FOR INFORMATION SUBMITTED:**

**QUESTION #1:**

- **RFI QUESTION:** The bid documents are contradictory regarding removal of an existing roof membrane. The report of existing conditions does not seem to indicate a membrane, but the scope of work indicates we should include removing a membrane if one exists. Are we to include removal of an existing membrane on the reservoir roof, or will this be paid as extra work if an existing membrane exists?

**RESPONSE #1:**

- We are to assume that a waterproofing membrane does not exist on the reservoir roof slab; therefore, do not include the removal/disposal of a membrane in the base bid. If a membrane is encountered and is required by MWW to remove/dispose of it, the work would be charged as an extra on a time and materials basis.

**QUESTION #2:**

- **RFI QUESTION:** Because of our signatory status to several unions and because of a lack of qualified SBE subcontractors in the roofing and lightweight concrete categories, our initial budgeting indicates that we will be unable to achieve a 20% SBE participation rate. Can this SBE requirement be eliminated for this project?

**RESPONSE #2:**

- The 20% SBE participation rate requirement will remain for this contract.

**QUESTION #3:**

- **RFI QUESTION:** Apparently there is no known membrane on the existing reservoir roof. Should this be assumed in our base bid?

**RESPONSE #3:**

- See response to RFI QUESTION #1.

**QUESTION #4:**

- **RFI QUESTION:** If there is a membrane, it could be mopped plies or maybe just a mopped coating. There is really no way of knowing what we might encounter which would make using a square footage price very questionable. Would it make sense to have this work done on a T & M basis using a labor and material rate included on the bid form?

**RESPONSE #4:**

See response to RFI QUESTION #1.

**QUESTION #5:**

- **RFI QUESTION:** American Wick Drain - Ameridrain 520 has been phased out and replaced with Silt Drain Sheet 184-B. American Wick Drain - Total Drain has been phased out and replaced with Site Drain HQ 240. Please let me know if the replacement materials are acceptable.

**RESPONSE #5:**

- American Wick Drain - Silt Drain Sheet 184-B and Site Drain HQ 240 may be used in lieu of the discontinued WIDNR stipulated items: American Wick Drain - AMERDRAIN 500/520 and TOTALDRAIN, respectively.

END OF ADDENDUM NO.1